35. SEASONAL VARIATION IN CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF SOME AQUATIC PLANTS¹

The dependence of aquatic plants on the substratum for nutrients has been a matter of controversy though Pearsall (1920) and Misra (1938) propounded the absorption of nutrients by aquatics from the substratum. According to Welch (1935) the substratum provides only mechanical support and the aquatic plants derive nutrients from the surrounding water. The chemical analysis of a few aquatic plants were undertaken in order to understand the variation of chemical constituents during different stages of growth, flowering and fruiting. The water in which these plants were growing was also analysed periodically for its relationships to the aquatic plants.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The samples of aquatic plants included roots, flowers, and fruits and care was taken to collect plants of the same age from different parts of the Doodhadhari Lake. No attempt was made to separate out plants from different depths.

The dry ashing technique was used throughout as recommended by Peach & Tracy (1956). Five grams of oven dry sample was taken in a Silica basin and the ash digested in hydrochloric acid was made to 250 ml in a volumetric flask. The residue is reported as silica and the solution was used for the determination of different elements.

The Kjeldahl method as recommended by Jackson (1958) was used for determination of total nitrogen, from 0.5 gm. of dried plant material.

The hydrochloric acid extract was used for the determination of calcium by titrimetry, magnesium by gravimetry and sodium and potassium separate by flame photometric methods.

OBSERVATIONS

Calcium: The concentration of calcium was found to show two peaks in most of the plants. Some plants showed a third peak also. The first peak was found in late rainy season and this continued till February. From February onwards a decline was found up to April. From April the concentration increased except in Eichhornia

¹ Based on a part of the Thesis submitted for the Degree of Ph.D. to the R.S. University, Raipur.

crassipes and Najas minor. The fluctuation of calcium was found more or less related to the growth periods. In September most of the plants were mature and showed maximum calcium. In February regeneration of plants probably brought about a decline in calcium.

Magnesium: Eichhornia crassipes, Pistia stratiotes and Ceratophyllum demersum recorded maximum magnesium content in February. Except for Hydrilla a general decline in magnesium was recorded. Regeneration after February brings forth this decline as large amounts of magnesium are withdrawn for photosynthesis and other metabolic processes. Young shoots of Najas and Trapa were found to contain very little of magnesium as observed from February to July. Trapa bispinosa, and Pistia stratiotes showed maximum in September.

Potassium: Eichhornia and Pistia were found to contain maximum amounts of Potassium in July during the early growing period, but Trapa showed very little potassium from February to June during its early growth period. In Ceratophyllum two peaks for potassium were found. One in April and the other in September. Young plants of Nymphaea cyanea recorded very little potassium in the beginning in August but quite contrary to this Nelumbo nucifera was found to contain maximum during early period of growth in February.

Sodium: The seasonal variation of sodium in plants corresponds to that of potassium. The young offsets of *Pistia stratiotes* were found to contain maximum sodium in February and April. Two peaks for sodium were observed in *Eichhornia*, *Pistia* and *Ceratophyllum*. The first peak was observed in April in *Pistia*, and *Ceratophyllum* and the second in September. Sodium concentration was maxmium at maturity in *Trapa bispinosa* and after flowering the concentration declined considerably. In *Hydrilla* the sodium content was lesser than the surrounding water.

Nitrogen: The total nitrogen content increased as plants grew older as found in *Hydrilla*, *Nymphaea*, *Nelumbo* and *Ceratophyllum*. In *Nymphaea* and *Nelumbo* nitrogen increases along with growth and young plants were found to contain the minimum.

DISCUSSION

In general the concentration of calcium in plants decreased from February to April in correspondence with the decrease of calcium in water. The calcium content of *Najas* however showed an opposite trend perhaps due to regeneration.

The seedlings and young plants of *Pistia*, *Trapa* and *Nymphaea* were found to show very little calcium and the concentration of calcium in-

TABLE 1

Showing seasonal Change in the Chemical Composition of Some Aquatic Plants and The Surrounding Water Plant Calcium	TABLE 1 TABLE 1 TABLE 1 TABLE 1 TABLE 1 Calcium 10.7 2.45 2.45 2.80 3.31 3.31 3.82 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.92 4.93 3.31 3.31 3.31 3.31 3.34 4.4 3.34 3.43 4.4 1.8 4.4 1.8 3.97	ME AQUATUM Water ppm Water ppm 18.0 28.0 47.0 47.0 24.0 44.0 44.0 44.0 44.0 44.5 18.0 28.0 44.0 47.0	Magnesium Plant Wat % Ppin % Ppin % Poin % P	sium water ppm. Water ppm	Sodium Sodium Plant W Ppm. pp ppm. pp ppm. pp ppm. pp ppm. pp 122 55 122 55 128 212 565 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165 165	Mater ppm. Water ppm. 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55	Potassium Plant Wal Plant Wal Plant Wal Pom. ppr 500 21- 510 20- 900 33- 860 18- 440 25- 860 21- 510 22- 520 21- 510 22- 720 21- 510 22- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 23- 700 20- 520 2	Sium Water pppm. 21.0 22
--	---	--	--	---------------------------	---	---	--	--

Succession	Month of	Jo //o	Jo /0	Total	Calcium	mn	Magnesium	sium	Sodium	ium	Potassium	sium
STORY 16A	collection	Ash	Silica	Nitro- gen %	Plant %	Water ppm.	Plant %	Water ppm.	Plant ppm.	Water ppm.	Plant ppm.	Water ppm.
Nelumbo nucifera	Feb. April June	15.6 12.0 36.0	6.0 12.0 17.0	5.07 6.16 4.75	3.5 3.8 3.4	44.5 18.0 28.0	2.62 5.89	44·0 53·7	122 118 87	45·5 55·0 55·0	700 440 540	21·0 20·0 33·0
Trapa bispinosa	Feb. April June Sep. Oct.	11.4 18.2 16.0 19.6 119.6	2.4 2.0 6.0 6.8 2.6	4·87 3·15 3·19 ··	4.0 5.18 8.4 3.36 5.64	44.5 48.0 18.0 47.0 56.0	3.93 2.62 0.65 5.46 0.43	44.0 55.0 53.7 25.0 37.0	102 102 122 225 125	45.5 58 55.0 37.5 36.0	270 270 290 600 380	21.0 25.0 20.0 18.0 22.0
Hydrilla verticillata	Feb. April July Sep. Nov.	38.0 15.2 20.8 18.4	16·0 10·4 0·4 4·2 11·0	1.28 3.74	5.56 3.16 5.6 0.8	44.5 48.0 28.0 47.0 24.0	3.7 4.36 4.69	46.0 55.0 42.0 2.0 35.0	87 65 50 50 50	54.0 58 54 35 36	440 425 860 740 625	21.0 25.0 35.0 21.0 23.0
Nymphaea nouchali	Aug. Sep. Oct.	14.6	2.0 10.4 3.0	2·14 3·62 3·19	2.34 2.36 4.04	28.0 23.0 33.0	1.31 0.65 1.31	25.0 37.0	200 400 400	37.5	460 860 640	16.0 18.0 18.0
Spirodela polyrhiza	April June July	12.0 40.0 25.0	4·2 20·0 0·4	4.75	7.56	48·0 23·0 14·0	1.09	55.0 53.7 42.0	100 90 53	58 75 55	300 510 350	25 42 35
Potamogeton crasipus	Feb. April	24.0	3.4	1.20	9.66	44.5	4.14	44.0 55.0	162 160	45.5	420 500	21.0 25.0

creased later during growth. A decline was found at the time of flowering and fruiting. In terrestrial plants a decrease in concentration before leaf fall is quite common.

Potassium content was very low in the beginning as plants regenerated but at or before maturity this amount increased considerably. At the time of regeneration the ash content was found quite low. No correlation was found between the chemical contents of plants and the surrounding water.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his deep sense of gratitude to Dr. V. B. Sharma for guidance and to Dr. R. C. Agnihotri for the laboratory facilities.

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, RAIPUR, February 3, 1970.

K. SANKARAN UNNI

REFERENCES

Jackson (1958): Soil chemical analysis. Asia Publishing House, Bombay. Misra, R. (1938): Edaphic factors in the distribution of aquatic plants in English lakes. J. Ecol. 26: 41-51.

Peach, K. & Tracey (1956): Modern methods of plant analysis. Springer-Verlag Berlin

Verlag, Berlin.

PEARSALL, W. H. (1920): The aquatic vegetation of English lakes. J. Ecol. 8: 163-201.

Welch (1935): Limnology. McGraw-Hill, New York.

36. STUDIES IN CYPERACEAE IV. NOTES ON SCLERIA RUGOSA R. BR. AND ITS COMPLEX

(With a plate)

Among the specimens of Cyperaceae received for study from the Herbarium of the Forest Research Institute, Dehra Dun (DD), was an interesting specimen which can be identified as Scleria rugosa R. Br. This taxon in herbariums is usually mis-identified as S. levis Retz., S. zeylanica Poir., S. thwaitesiana Boeck. or S. flaccida Clarke. This confusion pertaining to the identity of all the concerned species within this complex is understandable partly in the light of the basic misinterpretation which is evident from the synonymies and the description given for S. zeylanica and S. flaccida and partly due to the fact that